

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/731,993 12/09/2003 90959.000012 5872 Joseph S. Adams 23387 12/22/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 Stephen B. Salai, Esq. ALI, HYDER Harter, Secrest & Emery LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1600 Bausch & Lomb Place Rochester, NY 14604-2711 3747

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/731,993	ADAMS, JOSEPH S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HYDER ALI	3747
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2003</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/7/04</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/731,993

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 6, paragraph [0023], line 6, "spark plug 3" should read "spark plug 6".

Claim Objections

Claims 1-10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 1-3,4,9, "first check valve" should read "plenum check valve" and "second check valve" should read "combustion chamber check valve".

Appropriate correction is required in order to correct the inconsistent terminology.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 11 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,491,002.

Art Unit: 3747

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

(I) elements of claim 11 of present invention is equivalent to elements of patent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams (US 4,759,318). Adams discloses a combustion powered intermittent linear motor comprising: a combustion chamber 25 and an air chamber 31 within a piston cylinder; an associated power piston 30 reciprocating in the piston cylinder, the piston powered in a power stroke by ignition of gas in the combustion chamber 25 and arranged to return to rest in a return stroke, when not powered by the ignition of gas; an exhaust valve 50 associated with the combustion chamber 25, which valve opens to exhaust spent combustion gases and air from the combustion chamber after combustion; a plenum chamber 16 being in fluid communication with the air chamber 31 below the piston remote from the combustion chamber, the plenum chamber further being in communication with the combustion chamber, the motor being configured so that: (a) air is compressed in the air chamber 31 below the power piston 30 during the power stroke

and this compressed air flows into the plenum chamber 16; (b) then, as the combustion pressure drops, the compressed air from the plenum chamber 16 flows through the combustion chamber 25, and subsequently through the exhaust valve 50, scavenging the combustion chamber of spent combustion gases; (c) as the plenum chamber 16 pressure drops and the piston is on its return stroke, the piston draws in air into the air chamber from below it through an air inlet 35 while exhaust gases in the combustion chamber above the piston are being forced out through the exhaust valve 50; and (d) as the pressure in the combustion chamber 25 and the plenum chamber 16 return to substantially atmospheric pressure, the exhaust valve 50 closes in preparation for igniting the combustion chamber, wherein the compressed air from the plenum chamber enters the combustion chamber near the start of the power piston's return stroke.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HYDER ALI whose telephone number is (571) 272-4836. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HENRY YUEN can be reached on (571) 272-4856.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ha

Hyder AL.

Henry C. Yuen
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700